

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
	10/038,290	10/19/2001	Gregory A. Hyatt	5203-65	6716	
	24256 7	590 12/07/2004		EXAM	INER	1
DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP				RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
	1900 CHEMEI	O CENTER				
255 EAST FIFTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CINCINNATI,	OH 45202		3723		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/038,290	HYATT, GREGORY A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
141111	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	M Rachuba	3723				
Period fe		rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	eptember 2004.					
•	·	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-34 is/are pending in the a	ennlication					
7/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5,10,11,13-19 and</u>		ration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,12,20-22,24 and 26-34</u> is/are re	jected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
,—	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority aridor 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a)	(4) 6. (1).				
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachmen		<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 2-5, 10, 11, and 13-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 6-9, 12, 21-24, 26, 28-30 and 34 are finally rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Grage, 3,110,993. Please refer to figures
  1-3 and their descriptions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grage, '993. '993 discloses that the tool is abrasive. The examiner takes Official notice that the selection of abrasive material depends on the material being abraded or

Application/Control Number: 10/038,290 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

machined, and use of superabrasive, such as cubic boron nitride (CBN), diamond or polycrystalline products to machine hard materials is old and well known in the abrasive tool art. One of ordinary skill would have considered it obvious to have provided '933 with a superabrasive, dependent on the type of material to be machined.

6. Claims 20, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grage, '993 in view of Wohlmuth, 4,438,598. "993 discloses the claimed invention except for the fluid delivery system adapted to compensate for changes in material characteristics of the tool to assist in maintaining proper dispersal of fluid at a machining zone. '598, figure 1, teaches providing a deliver system which is adapted to compensate for changes in temperature of the tool to maintain proper dispersal of fluid at the machining zone. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided '993 with the control system of '598, to ensure that hardness, wear-life, appearance and contour of the workpiece and/or tool are not impaired by improperly controlled temperatures.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-9, 12, 20-24, and 26-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner agrees that JP'174 does not disclose the invention as now claimed, as it does not disclose that the fluid delivery system is stationary.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3723

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4493**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba Primary Patent Examiner